

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA

Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Division: \_\_\_\_\_

KIMBERLY ANN BLEVINS,  
Petitioner

51201 CA 2732WS -H

And

Respondents:

THE UNITED STATES VIA PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES AND U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL  
THE STATE OF FLORIDA VIA GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA AND FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL,  
THE HONORABLE RON OAKLEY, CHAIRMAN, PASCO BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
THE HONORABLE KIMBERLY ANN "KIM" CAMPBELL, JUDGE, SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
THE HONORABLE CHRIS NOCCO, SHERIFF, PASOC COUNTY  
NEIL THOMAS KELLER  
STEFAN MICHAEL DISKEY  
JAMES POPE  
PHILLIP GREGORY JOHNSON  
ELIZABETH JEAN JOHNSON

---

**DISCLOSURE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE COMMISSION OF TREASON  
AGAINST THE UNITED STATES, AND  
URGENT PETITION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION  
TO PREVENT FURTHER INJURY**

The Constitution of the United States, Article III, Section 3, includes: that "Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort. No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court." Petitioner KIMBERLY ANN BLEVINS and JOSIAH ROBERT FORNOF are both witnesses to treason based on the same overt acts committed by and through specific public officials and others of their reciprocal benefactors/aiders and abettors within the Sixth Judicial Circuit and elsewhere, commencing and continuing contiguously from at least as far back as September 11, 1986, and was apparently lying in wait as of that time. Petitioner's and Josiah Fornof's testimonies provide constitutional proof of treason. As such, Josiah Fornof has also signed this disclosure and petition as a witness to certain facts included herein, in order to catalyze the court's lawful response to this petition in order to prevent further injury, including to the Petitioner on August 21, 2019, at 2:00 PM.

Over the years and decades since September 11, 1986, the aforesaid continuous treason, as a river of treason, has taken many routes, including that it has diverged and along one branch carried through USA v FORNOF 8:10-cr-00396-EAK-MAP, U.S. District Court, Tampa, and along another branch has carried through CASE NO. 2017CF004974CFAXWS-04, State of Florida v. Kimberly Ann Blevins, and both branches are remerged in said state case. It is all the same river of treason nonetheless and many are those who are just now self-exposed as being inescapably in league with the former committers of treason.

There are two factions in said war: 1) the faction that rules by treason and consents to being so ruled, by treason; and 2) the faction that does not rule by treason and does not consent to being so ruled, by treason. The Petitioner and Josiah Fornof are in the latter faction, the one that does not rule by treason and neither do they consent to being so ruled, by treason. The evidence available to the Petitioner at present support that all the Respondents are in the former faction, the one that rules by treason and consents to being so ruled, by treason and that the Respondents from within said faction are levying war against citizens of the United States, against the United States, against the Petitioner Kimberly Ann Blevins and Josiah Robert Fornof. Some Respondents are doing so in contravention of their specific oaths of office and employment.

The law, both state and federal, compels and specifies this disclosure of the knowledge of the commission of treason:

## The 2019 Florida Statutes

<a href="#">Title</a>	<a href="#">Chapter 876</a>	<a href="#">View Entire</a>
<a href="#">XLVI</a>	CRIMINAL ANARCHY, TREASON, AND OTHER CRIMES AGAINST	<a href="#">Chapter</a>
CRIMES	PUBLIC ORDER	

**876.33 Misprision of treason.**—Whoever having knowledge of the commission of treason conceals the same and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known such treason to the Governor or one of the justices of the Supreme Court or a judge of the circuit court, shall be judged guilty of the offense of misprision of treason, a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

**History.**—s. 2, sub-ch. 2, ch. 1637, 1868; RS 2373; GS 3198; RGS 5028; CGL 7130; s. 703, ch. 71-136; s. 65, ch. 74-383.

**Note.**—Former s. 779.02.

**18 U.S. Code § 2382. Misprision of treason**

Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 807; Pub. L. 103-322, title XXXIII, § 330016(1)(H), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 2147.)

Petitioner Kimberly Ann Blevins and Josiah Robert Fornof are among the “whoever owing allegiance to the United States” and have been lawful many times, as yet again herein, in disclosing their having knowledge of the commission of treason against the United States as specified in law that they must do so.

The Respondents also are among the “whoever” but they have not responded lawfully to the Petitioner’s disclosure of her knowledge of the commission of treason in and during the progress of CASE NO. 2017CF004974CFAXWS-04, State of Florida v. Kimberly Ann Blevins, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, the finality of their refusal to respond lawfully reaching a climax during a STATUS CHECK in said case held on August 16, 2019, and described in more detail hereinafter. This disclosure, on the next business day, fulfills the “as soon as may be” requirement of both state and federal law.

From the arraignment on February 28, 2018 to date, Petitioner has given multiple, repeated disclosures of the commission of treason in that case, yet neither Judge Kim Campbell, Respondent, nor Neil Keller, Respondent and officer of the court, nor Stefen Diskey, Respondent and officer of the court, nor anyone else involved in said case who is in a position to do so has responded to said disclosure lawfully. Rather, said Respondents and others of their faction, having obligations to do otherwise, have further escalated their treason and overt acts of treason in levying war against the Petitioner and others. In the face of Petitioner’s repeated disclosures that she has knowledge of the commission of treason relevant to and in that case, the Respondents have responded with designed abstinence from inquiry for the purpose of escaping notice and justice and in furtherance of said treason until the case that was already “rife with treason” is now “hemorrhaging” overt acts of treason continuously.

The court’s granting the Petitioner’s temporary injunction will help to provide relief in that case while these matters are addressed in lawful order and manner so that the integrity of

the judicial and legal system can be preserved and not further tainted, in order to instill confidence in the process and where necessary restore said confidence.

Moreover, as of November 12, 1985, Petitioner is obligated as a sworn public servant herself, under F.S 876:05, with the additional obligations that go along with same, including as discussed in CHARGE TO GRAND JURY – TREASON AND PIRACY, Circuit Court, D Massachusetts, 30 F. Cas. 1049; 1861 U.S. App. LEXIS 391; 2 Sprague 285, Case No. 18,277, October 16, 1861:

Levying war against the United States, and resisting or obstructing the execution of the laws of the United States, have, from the origin of the government, been criminal offenses: but heretofore the criminal law has waited until treason or resistance has been consummated by an overt act Conventions, associations, combinations, or conspiracies, however atrocious even for the purpose of levying war and subverting the government, were not subject to criminal prosecutions; but now we have a statute of prevention which reaches one of the initiatory steps. Not only combinations to overthrow the government, but conspiracies of mutual agreements, whether by few or many, public or private, forcibly to resist or even to delay the execution of any law, are high crimes, subject to severe punishment.

The statute of the 6<sup>th</sup> August last (Acts 1861, c 64; 12 Stat. 326) prescribes a new oath of allegiance, and fidelity to be taken by every officer, clerk, or employe [sic] in the several departments, or in any way connected therewith It is in the following form: "I do solemnly swear (or affirm, as the case may be) that I will support, protect, and defend the constitution and government of the United States against all enemies, whether domestic or foreign, and that I will bear true faith, allegiance, and loyalty to the same, any ordinance, resolution, or law of any state convention or legislature to the contrary notwithstanding; and further, that I do this with a full determination, pledge, and purpose, without any mental reservation, or evasion whatsoever; and further, that I will wel [sic] and faithfully perform all the duties which may be required of me by law. So help me God." The violation of this oath is denounced and punished as weillful and corrupt perjury. What shall be deemed a violation is not defined, but the very general and comprehensive language is left to judicial interpretation This oath is wisely framed for the purpose of upholding the paramount authority of the national government, and recognizes allegiance to the United States as the highest political duty. It emphatically repels the deadly heresy of a paramount state allegiance.

Official oaths have heretofore generally been left without penal sanctions, their observance being secured only by the conscience of the officer; but we are admonished by recent atrocious examples, that the moral sense is no adequate security against the most appalling perfidy in officers of every grade, and it is wise therefore to affix to such crimes the palpable temporal penalties of perjury.

Many of the Respondents also have said additional obligations beyond being one of the "whoever owing allegiance" because of their oaths, but instead of acting thereon in defense of a free state, they are acting as pirates, and enemies of the state, on their own self-interests and the interests of their reciprocal benefactors/aiders and abettors in committing treason and high treason and getting by with that highest crime and a host of crimes that are part of said treason.

No matter how many of the Respondents and their faction there are in levying war against the Petitioner, the Petitioner has anti-majoritarian rights to assert against the above-referenced

majority, the Respondents, assembled and composed as local, state, and federal authorities, and she has done so, is doing so herein through this disclosure and petition, and will yet do so until this matter is seen through to a lawful conclusion or she dies on the battlefield trying for that outcome, in which case her written testimony, as included herein, will survive her life even as the testimonies of her late parents Ruth Elvada Denniston Blevins (1923-1997) and Robert Frank Blevins (1925-2011) to the same treason survive each of their lives and are an integral part of the testimonies of the Petitioner Kimberly Ann Blevins and her son Josiah Robert Fornof: one family, three generations, shared resolve.

Legal basis for this Disclosure and Petition exists in the following federal and state laws: The Constitution of the United States; the Constitution of Florida; 18 U.S. Code § 2382. Misprision of treason; F.S. 876.05. Public employees; oath; F.S. 876.32. Treason; F.S. 876.33. Misprision of treason; F.S. 876.35. Combination against part of the people of the state; F. S. 875.102. Abuse, aggravated abuse, and neglect of an elderly person or disabled adult; penalties, and such other law or laws as the court may recognize and apply on Petitioner's behalf in granting this temporary injunction.

The Respondents, severally, jointly, have made it clear that they each and all are postured to *kill* the Petitioner if she exercises her rights in defense against their further attacks on her. Judge Kim Campbell's ORDER ADJUDGING DEFENDANT INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED AND PLACING DEFENDANT ON CONDITIONAL RELEASE is a void judgment, as Petitioner has given the court notice, but in court on August 16, 2019, said Respondent Judge Campbell made abundantly clear that she is going to enforce said void judgment against the Petitioner, no matter that it is void, a tool of torture, a weapon of warfare.

*DC vs. Heller* includes, "Americans understood the 'right of self-preservation' as permitting a citizen to 'repe[l] force by force' when the 'intervention of society in his behalf, may be too late to prevent an injury.' *I Blackstone's Commentaries* 145-146 n 42 (1803).

**In regard to the above-referenced matter, it is nearly too late to prevent further injury, the demarcating date and time being August 21, 2019, at 2:00 PM. This disclosure and petition is most urgent within the aforesaid timeframe.**

---

**RELIEF SOUGHT**

1. Stay any and all local, state, or federal legal proceedings, whether administrative, civil, criminal or any other type, against the Petitioner until this matter of treason has been lawfully addressed and brought to rest through judicial and legal processes.
2. Acknowledge that the State's discretion to not prosecute treason may not work to deprive Petitioner from defending herself and others from same by whatever means necessary to preserve the security of a free state, including that Petitioner has Second Amendment rights to exercise in this cause against those who are levying war against her, self-evidently so.
3. Immediately stay any and all enforcement of Judge Kim Campbell's ORDER ADJUDGING DEFENDANT INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED AND PLACING DEFENDANT ON CONDITIONAL RELEASE, in State of Florida v. Kimberly Ann Blevins, Case No. 2017CF004974CFAXWS-04, as such is a weapon of warfare. Said relief includes that Petitioner shall *not* be required to comply with that court's demand that Petitioner appear at an appointment on August 21, 2019, at 2:00 PM, at Baycare Behavioral Health, 8002 King Helie Blvd., New Port Richey, Florida 34653, for the following reasons:
  - a. **Petitioner will suffer irreparable harm if an injunction is not granted.** The Order was constructed and executed by unlawfully exploiting the Petitioner's disability and is a tool of torture, including psychological abuse as was the manner in which said order was obtained. Through the Order, the Court threatens to force Petitioner to take psychotropic medication, with potentially lethal side effects, and to subject her to involuntary hospitalization, all without trying the facts at the source of her trauma, the aforesaid treason, and through which the Respondents seek to obstruct by whatever means necessary the Petitioner's testimony to treason.
  - b. **The unavailability of an adequate remedy at law. In other words, money damages will not right the wrong.** Petitioner and her late parents Ruth Elvada Denniston Blevins (1923-1997) and Robert Frank Blevins (1925-2011) and theirs, including the Petitioner and hers, have suffered under reign of treason, genocide, war, and more at the hands of the State of Florida, most intensely through public officials of the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, commencing and continuing contiguously from September 11, 1986. Said wrongdoing includes that William R. "Bill" Webb, who sat as a judge on the circuit for some 21 years, murdered his own client Petitioner's mother Ruth Elvada Denniston Blevins (1923-1997) and was aided in so doing by a great number of reciprocal benefactors, including but not limited to by State Attorney Bernie McCabe, and they murdered Petitioner's mother in order to enhance Mr. Webb's being sworn in as judge by fraud and felony.

No amount of money damages can right that wrong, or any of the other wrongs against the Petitioner and her family which are inextricably tied to the aforesaid. The best that can be hoped for is as the Petitioner's father Robert Frank Blevins (1925-2011) expressed in closing his telltale letter dated December 7, 1997 to the U.S. Department of justice:

There can be no closure, neither can the wounds heal, nor the scars be erased. I must live with whatever compensation I receive which cannot ever be enough. However, the thorns have their rose: it's all right here. what's wrong with our legal system, having emanated from a ten year old still unsettled claim that has always been as airtight as it has ever needed to be.

I want you to see that they get what's coming to them for what they have done to me!  
Ruth Elvada Denniston Blevins (1923 - 1997)

At this juncture, for those inclined toward justice, I have said enough. For those not so inclined, it would be useless to say more just as it will have been useless to have said as much

Sincerely,



Robert F. Blevins

RFB to USDJ 12-7-97 Page 40

c. **There is a substantial likelihood of success on the merits at trial.** Providing that the evidence can be put before a jury or grand jury, and that each juror is not tampered with, the likelihood of successful civil and criminal prosecution at trial is very nearly a sure thing.

d. **The injunction will serve the public interest.**

The injunction will help to prevent a manifest injustice and protect the public interest in maintaining the integrity of the process and will help to reduce the strain on judicial resources. The injunction will help to preserve and protect public interest in the singularly unique testimonies of Petitioner Kimberly Ann Blevins as a resource for the common defense against acts of treason by enemies both foreign and domestic and will help to safeguard confidence in the judicial-legal system.

Commencing from February 1988, Petitioner's parents made many good-faith efforts to lawfully address these related matters, but each has died, not living to see justice. Nevertheless, their written testimonies have survived them and enough of that is in the public record and in the personal files of the Petitioner and her family.

Crucial evidence exists in the form of the audio recording and transcript of a telephone call of nearly three hours that took place on August 17, 2010, among the Petitioner, Josiah Robert Fornof,

the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and others. Among other things, Mr. Fornof, based on long experience, predicted what the wrongdoers would do, and the wrongdoer Respondents named above have done exactly as he predicted they would do.

The Petitioner's request for said records is currently being processed by the United States Department of Justice (DOJ-AP-2019-004932). Any opinion that the Petitioner is delusional in her assessments, including of treason, without review of that evidence, is arbitrary and void as it does not comport with any sense of a meaningful investigation.

For about two decades so far, the Petitioner has tried in good faith to have this matter of treason addressed lawfully, her promising career even becoming one of the casualties in that war. She has continued her efforts through the progress of the aforesaid case against her, which she recognizes for what it is, a weapon for levying war against the United States. As of August 16, 2019, and what occurred in court during a rescheduled Status Check, Judge Kim Campbell, Respondent, proved that justice shall not be had in this matter if left in her hands: she has left it all in the hands of Neil Keller, Respondent, to determine whether the United States, through the Petitioner and her unique testimony, will defend itself against treason. Therefore, Petitioner now urgently turns to another judge in some other court for intervention and relief.

---

#### **RELATED CASES**

The following cases are related to this Disclosure and Petition:

State of Florida vs. Kimberly Ann Blevins, Case No. 512017CF004974CFAXWS, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, Pasco County.

USA v JOSIAH FORNOF 8:10-cr-00396-EAK-MAP, U.S. District Court, Tampa.

Elizabeth Johnson -vs- Kimberly A. Blevins, Case No.: 2012DR005008DRAXWS, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, Pasco County.

Phillip G. Johnson -vs- Kimberly A. Blevins, Case No.: 2012DR005009DRAXWS, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, Pasco County.

State of Florida vs. Kimberly Ann Blevins, Case No. 2017MM005557MMAXWS, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, Pasco County.



---

**NOTICE OF DISABILITY**

Petitioner is a disabled adult who applied for disability benefits on March 13, 2017, and who provided a statement to the Social Security Administration which includes (errors, signifying ongoing stress, included):

The source and cause of my condition of complex trauma is as follows: commencing and continuing contiguously from at least as far back as September 11, 1986, the governing bodies of the United States of America, top to bottom, across the board, federal, state, local, and their reciprocal benefactors aiders and abettors, including but in no manner limited to the University of South Florida (USF) have held my parents Ruth Blevins (8/31/1923-1/11/1997) and Robert F. Blevins (3/13/1925-11/10/2011) and theirs, including me Kimberly Annn Blevins (4/17/1956- ) and mine, and others, under reign of genocide and treason. The death of each of my parents confirmed that each had been murdered by said governing bodies et al. The murdering of my sister C.B. Cieszynski (9/17/1947- ) and me an dours by the afoesaid entities continues.

Petitioner gave her statement and all other required documentation to go through the application and appeal processes in good faith. Both the Florida Department of Health and the Social Security Administration ultimately responded in kind, in good faith, and Petitioner's disability benefits were approved on October 18, 2017. That became the government admitting that the government has disabled the Petitioner, a fact that the Respondents are actively attacking through the aforesaid case.

Furthermore, as Case No. 2017CF004974CFAXWS-04 State of Florida v. Kimberly Ann Blevins has progressed, the court, the state, and Petitioner's defense counsel have combined to over-exploit and worsen her injuries without lawfully addressing the source and cause of same, including as described above; namely: treason committed by public officials and their reciprocal benefactors/aiders and abettors in and through the Sixth Judicial Circuit and elsewhere.

The Court's ORDER ADJUDGING DEFENDANT INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED AND PLACING DEFENDANT ON CONDITIONAL RELEASE, entered June 24, 2019, is a void judgment; the Petitioner's objection to same is entered in the court record; yet, the Court is proceeding to enforce same against her, with military force and to cover up treason, to tamper with Petitioner as a witness to treason, to continue to violate her constitutional rights, and to destroy facts and evidence, including if possible destroying the Petitioner herself as a resource for the public in identifying and prosecuting treason and other related crimes against peace, order, and a lawful society.

**FACTS OF THE CASE**

Discovery in Case No. 2017CF004974CFAXWS-04 State of Florida v. Kimberly Ann Blevins includes the above-referenced facts about the Petitioner's application process (and ultimate approval) for disability benefits and the statement she gave to the Social Security Administration about the source and cause of her complex trauma. Therefore, the Petitioner's disability of complex trauma caused by the State of Florida, et al, through their wrongdoing is not just a relevant fact, *it is a relevant fact in this case.*

mb

File By: ^

**CIRCUIT COURT  
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DISCOVERY**

I hereby acknowledge that I have received Discovery from the Office of BERNIE McCABE, State Attorney, in the following case:

STATE OF FLORIDA v. \_\_\_\_\_  
KIMBERLY ANN BLEVINS

CASE NUMBER  
2017CF004974CFAXWS-SECTION 4

---

Discovery in the above-styled case includes items check below:

FCIC/NCIC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Waiver of Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/>
Prosecution Cover Sheet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant's Statement (including statements contained in police report)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Answer to Demand	<input type="checkbox"/>	Witness Statement(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Demand Alibi	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Witness List	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Report of Experts:			
Medical	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent to Search	<input type="checkbox"/>
Chemical	<input type="checkbox"/>	Police Report(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fingerprint	<input type="checkbox"/>	Affidavit	<input type="checkbox"/>
Check (Front and Back)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Body Worn Camera Footage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other:			

FILED IN 2017-15-15 2017-15-15

---

Date of Acknowledgment \_\_\_\_\_ Attorney for Defendant or Duly Authorized Representative \_\_\_\_\_

**Please sign and return to:**  
State Attorney's Office  
Post Office Box 5028  
Clearwater, Florida 33758

PATRICK MARSHALL BRANNON, Attorney for Defendant  
7530 LITTLE RD SUITE 202 NEW PORT RICHEY, FL 34654-5598

COPY

Below are two scanned images from the discovery in this case, illustrating the aforesaid (the pages of the discovery are not numbered):

Through the process of preparing to adopt children, I also became very interested in various kinds of trauma and trauma-sensitivity. I recognized that one of the reasons the Lord had led me in that direction was to teach me more about what I already knew, namely, that I suffer from complex trauma.

On March 13, 2017, the Lord led me to apply for Social Security disability on the basis of my complex trauma. That application was denied, unlawfully, and the next day, on July 8, 2017, I filed an appeal, which is currently pending. For that appeal, I updated the first paragraph of my statement as follows.

The source and cause of my condition of complex trauma is as follows: commencing and continuing contiguously from at least as far back as September

<http://rhonostale.com/personal-details/>

11, 1986, the governing bodies of the United States of America, top to bottom, across the board, federal, state, local, and their reciprocal benefactors aiders and abettors, including but in no manner limited to the University of South Florida (USF) have held my parents Ruth Blevins (8/31/1923-1/11/1997) and Robert F. Blevins (3/13/1925-11/10/2011) and theirs, including me Kimberly Ann Blevins (4/17/1956-) and mine, and others, under reign of genocide and treason. The death of each of my parents and my sister Constance B. "Connie" Cieszynski (9/17/1947-5/19/2017) confirm that each has been murdered by said governing bodies et al. The murdering of my parents' survivors, including of me, and of my son Josiah R. Fornof, by the aforesaid entities continues.

That appeal is where the fiercest spiritual warfare is currently taking place. When my appeal is approved, the whole filthy garment begins to unravel. My father wrote of that in his telltale letter of some 40 pages dated December 7, 1997, to the U.S. Department of Justice, which includes on the final page, "It's a filthy garment that guarantees total unravel, pull on any thread. None of them gave integrity or probity a chance."

In *Dusky v. United States*, the Court ruled that in order to stand trial a defendant must have a "sufficient present ability to consult with his lawyer with a reasonable degree of rational understanding" and a "rational as well as factual understanding of the proceedings against him." These are minimum standards according to the due process protection of the Fifth and the Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. That protection was put in place based on a historical reality whereby defendants were being tried and sentenced without even being present. Something tantamount to that has occurred in this case, as discussed more fully hereinafter.

All things considered, the Petitioner has demonstrated that she meets the criteria for competence, but the Respondents have not done so.

As part of the process of receiving disability benefits, the Petitioner had to be evaluated by a forensic psychologist, and that is what resulted in her qualifying for benefits on the basis that she had stated, that she suffers from complex trauma caused by the wrongdoing of the State of Florida, et al. The State cannot now benefit *in any manner* from its own wrongdoing!

The Petitioner gave her information to the SSA, the SSA then did its investigation based on the facts that the Petitioner gave them, and that they otherwise acquired, and on that basis responded lawfully in approving the Petitioner for disability benefits, whereby the government, in part, acknowledged the government's wrongdoing against the Petitioner.

In contrast, the Petitioner has reiterated the same facts throughout the progress of this criminal case, including to each of the two court-appointed (forensic) psychologists, but they have responded to those facts by determining that the Petitioner is incompetent. There is a conflict in the opinions of the aforesaid SSA appointed forensic psychologist from another county and the two court-appointed forensic psychologists in the state's cases.

Now Judge Kim Campbell, Respondent, has ordered Petitioner to undergo treatment and is backing up her demand with military force, and all of that is in an attempt to force Petitioner to change her position on the facts long since established in her qualifying for SSDI, and also long since established in the discovery in this case!

**The Respondents are attacking the Petitioner, a United States citizen: they are attacking the United States!**

---

#### EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

The information below focuses mostly on what is readily available in the court records for Case No. 2017CF004974CFAXWS-04 State of Florida v. Kimberly Ann Blevins, the criminal case against the Petitioner, with the listed Court Events providing the structure for what occurred on or about the date in the box, the "Event Date" column. Petitioner can provide a more exact account of dates and produce evidence when a hearing is scheduled concerning this petition for a temporary injunction.

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
02/28/2018	ARRAIGNMENT	<p>Petitioner met her newly appointed public defender Jonathan Chinchilla for the first time and immediately informed him of subject treason and directed him to immediately advise the court of same in order to meet the "as soon as may be" requirement of state and federal law, but he never did so on her behalf and instead independently, without her knowledge or consent, pursued a competency defense which is most injurious to Petitioner and has irreparably injured her and prejudiced her in this case.</p> <p>Petitioner followed up with correspondence to her lawyer dated March 7, 2018, sent via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested (see attachment to Doc #21 in this case).</p> <p>Lawyer did not communicate with Petitioner in any manner, either in response to her emails, by telephone, or by responding to her certified mail.</p> <p>Given her lawyer's failure to communicate with her, especially on such an important matter, Petitioner wrote an ex parte letter to the Court directly in order to comply with state and federal law on misprision of treason. Said letter is four pages, is dated April 18, 2018, and was entered by the Clerk as Doc #21 on 04/20/2018.</p>
04/05/2018	MOTION HEARING	<p>On March 21, 2018, defense counsel Chinchilla entered a motion to have the Petitioner evaluated for competence and did so without her knowledge or consent. This hearing was on that motion, and again, the Petitioner knew nothing about it.</p> <p>The Court granted the defense counsel's motion.</p>
04/24/2018	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	<p>Judge Kimberly Ann Campbell, Respondent, introduced Petitioner's above-referenced letter (Doc #21) in open court and made sure that both the State Attorney and the Public Defender, whom she had copied, had their copies or could obtain same.</p>

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p>This was the first date that Petitioner's public defender Chinchilla had communicated with her since the arraignment, and this was the first she knew of the Court's competency order, to which she immediately objected to counsel, telling him that was everyone's dancing around the fact that the case is treason, and that she is a witness to same, whereupon Petitioner's lawyer said that was <i>why</i> he had filed for the order, because Petitioner keeps saying she is a witness to treason. Again, he pursued that without Petitioner's knowledge or consent or any consideration of the facts in support of her claim.</p> <p>In the face of all of that, the Court entered an Order DIRECTING EXAM BY DR. R F C.</p> <p>Under the aforesaid coercion, and with objection, Petitioner met with said doctor on June 6, 2018 and at his request for additional information, she reported said treason to him in detail during that evaluation.</p>
06/19/2018	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	<p>Defense counsel Chinchilla advised Petitioner that the doctor had given the opinion that she is delusional and is not competent to proceed to trial and otherwise portrayed scenarios terrifying to the Petitioner concerning what the Court may do to her.</p> <p>Petitioner, seeing that defense counsel was going to continue to pursue a competency defense that he knew she objected to, filed a pro se Motion to HALT PROSECUTION (Doc #31, entered 06/25/2018) and a Motion for APPOINTMENT OF NEW COUNSEL (Doc # 33, entered on 06/26/2018).</p> <p>Petitioner filed a PETITION FOR THE WAR TO CEASE (PRO SE) (Doc #35, entered 08/10/2018) which incorporates her pro se motions above as exhibits.</p>
08/14/2018	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	<p>Defense counsel Chinchilla advised Petitioner that he had gone over the law "with a fine-toothed comb" and had found that he did not believe that the State Attorney could legally charge Petitioner under the law that they were attempting to use. He said he had sent</p>

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p>a motion to the State Attorney about that and planned to pursue it on behalf of Petitioner, who consented to that defense strategy.</p> <p>Petitioner asked what about her Petition, and defense counsel said that as long as she is represented, the court will not hear her motions, that she would have to fire him first; but by then, he had presented the idea above, and it sounded reasonable to the Petitioner, but it was a ruse.</p> <p>During the pretrial conference, defense counsel Chinchilla told the Court about the aforesaid, asked for a hearing to present a legal argument, and for the Petitioner's appearance there to be waived since there would be no new facts to certify, and the Court granted that. Petitioner asked defense counsel to advise her when the hearing was going to be held, but he never did, and from the Court Event record, it never was held.</p> <p>Defense counsel and the Court also discussed a second competency exam; there was quite a bit of discussion about that and confusion about who had the responsibility to follow up on that.</p> <p>The Court entered an Order DIRECTING COURT ADMINISTRATION TO APPOINT EXPERT(S).</p> <p>Chinchilla left the Public Defender's office for private practice, just before the next hearing, and did so without notice to the Petitioner.</p>
10/16/2018	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	<p>Newly-appointed defense counsel Neil Keller was not present. Brittany Adams-Jones (who had represented Petitioner in the related misdemeanor case) was substituting.</p> <p>The Court introduced correspondence from the Petitioner which includes a statement she wanted to read in court under oath. Said statement includes:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">There are individuals within the aforesaid governing bodies who have committed treasonable acts, including</p>

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p>but in no manner limited to William R. Webb, who sat as judge on this Sixth Judicial Circuit by fraud and felony for some 21 years, and his reciprocal benefactors aiders and abettors, including but in no manner limited to State Attorney Bernie McCabe. Under Mr. McCabe's leadership and under the leadership of any subsequent state attorney until this matter of treason is lawfully resolved: the state attorney's office is not a legitimate entity and should not be treated as such simply because they have successfully covered up and gotten by with their crimes against my family and me from at least as far back as September 28, 1995.</p> <p>My claim of treason against William R. Webb, Bernie McCabe and others is based on facts and evidence in my possession or in the public domain, and before this State case is allowed to progress any further against me, the Court must find those facts as well as other facts connected to those, wherever they lead in exposing the whole matter of treason to which I am a witness, from September 11, 1986, to date.</p> <p>I have previously informed the Court that I am disabled as a result of the State of Florida's ongoing treason and war against my parents and theirs, against me and mine. The State has latched on to a civil matter that never should have come to that as a smokescreen and a cover up for their own wrongdoing, and they have kept boring in until they could falsely claim under color-of-law that it had become criminal as to me, and they are doing that to silence me as a witness and to make an example of me as a silenced witness. The State has done all of that and more while exploiting my disability which the State caused, even as they continue to further injure me and to further terrorize my family and me.</p> <p>The Court did not allow the Petitioner to read said statement under oath, neither was said item entered into the court docket; instead, the Court pushed to proceed with the second competency evaluation of the Petitioner.</p> <p>Mrs. Jones told the Petitioner that the legal defense that Chinchilla had come up with was still alive, still on the table.</p> <p>Petitioner spoke with new defense counsel Neil Keller, Respondent, by phone. He promised to pursue filing a</p>



Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p data-bbox="688 233 1404 302">motion to dismiss the case, but said the court still wanted her to be evaluated by a second doctor.</p> <p data-bbox="688 348 1404 846">Petitioner was evaluated by a second doctor on November 13, 2018. During the evaluation, Petitioner spoke to the doctor about Petitioner's claim that William R. Webb, et al, murdered the Petitioner's mother, Webb's client as of December 24, 1987. Petitioner explained that Webb: 1) knew what it would take to kill Petitioner's mother; 2) through his unlawful acts, he did it; and 3) Petitioner's mother died. The doctor abruptly closed the interview and said she did not need to hear more. She entered the opinion that the Petitioner is incompetent to proceed to trial, again without review of the evidence supporting Petitioner's claim of treason and other related crimes.</p>
12/04/2018	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	<p data-bbox="688 896 1404 1041">Defense counsel Neil Keller, Respondent, informed Petitioner that the second doctor had given the court the opinion that Petitioner is incompetent to proceed to trial.</p> <p data-bbox="688 1087 1404 1392">Petitioner asked defense counsel what about the motion to dismiss. He said now that she has been deemed incompetent by two experts, that he could not file <i>any</i> motions on her behalf: Petitioner memorialized her recollection of that exchange three days later in an email to defense counsel. His injurious lie was yet to be exposed by the Petitioner in court on August 16, 2019 (see hereinafter).</p> <p data-bbox="688 1438 1404 1738">The court deemed the Petitioner incompetent to proceed based on the opinion of the two experts and set a Status Check for six months hence. Petitioner asked defense counsel if he would still be representing her, and he said he would. She said she needed to meet with him. He asked her to send him emails. She did so, but he never answered any of them Between December 4, 2018, and January 4, 2018, Petitioner</p> <p data-bbox="688 1785 1404 1892">During court, defense counsel and the judge had some communication that was mysterious and therefore terrifying to the Petitioner, even more so because</p>

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p>defense counsel Neil Keller, Respondent, failed to answer Petitioner's invited emails to him, including the one asking about that.</p> <p>With the scheduled Status Check approaching, and Petitioner's defense counsel still incommunicado with her, she wrote to the Court a 12-page letter, an action for injunctive relief, etc., dated May 20, 2019, entered as "Correspondence to JUDGE" on 05/24/2019 (Doc #46).</p>
06/07/2019	STATUS CHECK	<p>Neil Keller, Respondent, was present: Petitioner confronted him about his not responding to any of her emails, and he said he had not received them and questioned what email address she had used. She said the same as before (when once or twice he had responded, prior to December 4, 2018).</p> <p>While the Petitioner was at the podium with defense counsel, Judge Kim Campbell, Respondent, asked Petitioner if she would like to talk about her letter (referenced above). Petitioner responded that she felt blindsided, that she had not been able to consult with her lawyer, that he had said he hadn't received her emails. Neil Keller, Respondent, told the judge that he would have to check and see whether he had.</p> <p>Judge Campbell asked Petitioner whether she would like to reschedule, and she said she would, and the judge immediately rescheduled that Status Check to August 16, 2019, with the explicit understanding that it was so that Petitioner could consult with her lawyer and <i>then</i> decide how to proceed, but that never happened as before Petitioner could meet with her lawyer, Judge Campbell, Respondent, preemptively entered her aforesaid incompetency order (Doc #49) and prevented Petitioner's ability to consult with her lawyer and from meeting even the minimum competency requirements under Due Process, which is what she rescheduled the Status Check to allow for, something that the Petitioner relied on to her injury in this case.</p>

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p>Petitioner did not know about the Order of incompetence until July 13, 2019, when she received a copy in the mail in an envelope from the Public Defender's office, with no letter explaining anything about it, and defense counsel had not answered her email asking him to advise her what was going on, what it was all about. Petitioner immediately objected to the Order in writing (Doc #52 and 53).</p> <p>In self-defense against the aforesaid treachery and betrayal, against the Petitioner and the United States, Petitioner filed pro se MOTIONS AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW, which the Clerk entered as "MEMORANDUM AND MOTIONS" on 07/23/2019 (Doc #54). The Clerk's office went 'round and 'round about how to designate the image for that, marking it confidential, then restricted, then back to confidential, then restricted, then open, and now back to restricted.</p>
08/16/2019	STATUS CHECK	<p>Judge Kim Campbell castigated Petitioner for not making an appointment with Baycare as per the Court's order, even though the order was vague, and Petitioner had not received counsel from her lawyer Neil Keller, Respondent, about the order, even to know of its existence until weeks after it had been entered.</p> <p>Petitioner told Judge Campbell that she had responded to the order (by filing her aforesaid motions) but the judge said she did not know what was in the motions because they did not come through defense counsel Keller. The judge said that is why Petitioner has a lawyer, to put things in a form the court can rule on, and Judge Campbell asked Mr. Keller whether he agrees with Petitioner's legal reasoning in her motions, and he said no; however, a conflict of interest exists here.</p> <p>In her motions, the Petitioner pointed out that at the previous status check the Court had held her to be competent according to the Dusky standards, and she cited <i>Godinez v. Moran</i> and the fact that the Due Process clause does not impose additional requirements, such as the opinion of experts.</p>

Event Date	Docket Type	How Event Relates to this Petition
		<p>Judge Campbell, Respondent, deferred to Neil Keller, Respondent, to apply his arbitrary determination, contrary to due process and case law, resulting in a continuing violation of Petitioner's constitutional rights, including her due process rights.</p> <p>At the podium, in court, Neil Keller, Respondent, privately reminded Petitioner of what he had said before about filing motions, whereupon Petitioner told the Court that she needed to ask a question, and the judge put her under oath before allowing her to proceed. Petitioner asked Judge Campbell if Mr. Keller could file motions on her behalf. Judge Campbell said yes. Petitioner asked the judge if the court's holding about her competency had any bearing on that, and the court said defense counsel could still file motions on her behalf, which as indicated above, was not what defense counsel had told her just then and more than 9 months prior.</p> <p>The Court entered its own motion and ordered Petitioner to appear for treatment at Baycare Behavioral Health on August 21, 2019, at 2:00 PM, and said treatment holds the threats identified above against the Petitioner, of possibly forcing her to take psychotropic medication, with potentially lethal side effects, until she changes her account or is unable to testify as she can do so now, with clarity, to treason, and of involuntarily hospitalizing her should her health deteriorate, with that decision left up to those with obvious unlawful agendas against the Petitioner.</p> <p>Judge Campbell, Respondent, set the next court date for October 11, 2019, and said that one will be a Competency Status Check and Pretrial Conference, and throughout all, none of the Respondents respects the hierarchy of the law and the precedence that the Petitioner's rights take over all else in this case.</p> <p>The Respondents share obvious prejudice and hostility toward the Petitioner, which are elements that prove overt acts in treason and constitutional violations.</p>

OATH

Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me this 19th day of August, 2019.

Kimberly Ann Blevins  
Kimberly Ann Blevins  
18060 Owen Drive  
Hudson, Florida 34667-6659

STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF PASCO



[Signature]  
(Signature of Notary Public—State of Florida)  
Dimitri Tagaropoulos  
(Name of Notary Typed, Printed, or Stamped)

Personally known \_\_\_\_\_ OR Produced Identification X

Type of Identification Produced FL DL

I, the undersigned, can and do attest to certain facts included herein, as a second witness, particularly the facts of the June 7, 2019 and August 16, 2019 court dates: I was there.

Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me this 19th day of August, 2019.

Josiah Robert Fornof  
Josiah Robert Fornof  
18060 Owen Drive  
Hudson, Florida 34667-6659

STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF PASCO



[Signature]  
(Signature of Notary Public—State of Florida)  
Dimitri Tagaropoulos  
(Name of Notary Typed, Printed, or Stamped)

Personally known \_\_\_\_\_ OR Produced Identification X

Type of Identification Produced FL KD

---

**SERVICE**

Petitioner KIMBERLY ANN BLEVINS asks the Court to serve the requested temporary injunction upon the following Respondents in this Disclosure and Petition:

THE UNITED STATES VIA PRESIDENT OF THE  
UNITED STATES AND U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

THE STATE OF FLORIDA VIA GOVERNOR OF  
FLORIDA AND FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL

Office of the Attorney General  
State of Florida  
The Capitol PL-01  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

THE HONORABLE RON OAKLEY  
Chairman, Pasco Board of County Commissioners

8731 Citizens Drive  
New Port Richey, FL 34654

THE HONORABLE KIMBERLY ANN CAMPBELL  
Judge, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida

West Pasco Judicial Center  
7530 Little Rd Rm 313  
New Port Richey, FL 34654-5598

THE HONORABLE CHRIS NOCCO  
Sheriff, Pasco County

Pasco County Sheriff's Office  
8700 Citizens Drive  
New Port Richey, FL 34654

NEIL THOMAS KELLER  
Assistant Public Defender

Office of the Public Defender  
7530 Little Rd  
New Port Richey, FL 34654-5598

STEFEN MICHAEL DISKEY  
Assistant State Attorney

State Attorney's Office, 6th  
7530 Little Rd  
New Port Richey, FL 34654-5598

JAMES POPE

Baycare Behavioral Health  
8002 King Helie Blvd.  
New Port Richey, FL 34653

ELIZABETH JEAN JOHNSON

*Address on file with the Clerk*

PHILLIP GREGORY JOHNSON

*Address on file with the Clerk*

